UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/577,409	04/25/2006 Oreste Piccolo		207,561	1407	
Jay S. Cinamon	7590 09/23/200	EXAMINER			
Abelman, Frayı	ne and Schwab	SCHLIENTZ, NATHAN W			
666 Third Aven New York, NY		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1616		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/23/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/577,4	-09	PICCOLO ET AL.				
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
			V. Schlientz	1616				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic r Reply	ation appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	idress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA sions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commune period for reply is specified above, the maximum statue to reply within the set or extended period for reply weply received by the Office later than three months after departed term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF T 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e nication. Itory period will apply and ill, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICATIO vent, however, may a reply be ti vill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this common (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 25 April 2006						
•	-	o) This action is	non-final					
' —		/—		osecution as to the	e merite is			
ا ال	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	closed in accordance with the practice	s under Ex parte Q	uayle, 1955 C.D. 11, 4	55 O.G. 215.				
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🛛	Claim(s) <u>1-11,14 and 15</u> is/are pendin	g in the application	1.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-11,14 and 15</u> are subject to	n restriction and/or	election requirement					
0)63	olalin(s) <u>i 11,14 and 10</u> are subject to	o restriction and/or	ciccion requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) <u></u> accepted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the		-		FR 1.121(d).			
11)□ .	The oath or declaration is objected to l	•		-	, ,			
·	•	, and _			. 6 . 62			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice (3) Inform	e(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTonation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 'No(s)/Mail Date	O-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate				

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-9, drawn to an insecticidal composition.

Group II, claim(s) 10-11, drawn to a method for preparing a composition.

Group III, claim(s) 14, drawn to a method to eliminate household insects, or an insecticide method in agriculture.

Group IV, claim(s) 15, drawn to a veterinary insecticide method.

- 2. The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the special technical feature that is common to Groups I-IV is the composition comprising a pyrethroid, a synergist and a cyclodextrin. US 3,846,551 discloses an interacted compound of a pyrethroid with a cyclodextrin, wherein the composition further comprises a synergist (Abstract; col. 1, ln. 4-10; col. 5, ln. 43-49; col. 6, ln. 32; and Formulation Examples 3 and 7). Therefore, the technical feature that is common to Groups I-IV is known.
- 3. A telephone call was made to Jay S. Cinamon on 15 September 2009 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the

requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 5. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product

are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan W. Schlientz whose telephone number is 571-272-9924. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM, Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 571-272-0646. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/577,409

Art Unit: 1616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Page 5

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NWS

/John Pak/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1616